# Auditor's report on separately agreed measures, Innovation Cluster Funding

***[For the beneficiary]***

We have performed the procedures agreed with you listed below, relating to funding decision Ref. No. [xxx/xx/xxxx] by Innovation Funding Agency Business Finland (hereinafter the Funder) and to the cost statement drafted and signed by [the beneficiary] [business ID] and totaling **EUR xxx[[1]](#footnote-1)** for the entire project period of [**dd.mm.20yy – dd.mm.20yy**].

The engagement has been carried out in accordance with the International Standard on Related Services ISRS 4400, Engagements to perform agreed-upon procedures regarding financial information, as well as the terms and conditions issued by the Funder on Innovation Cluster Funding as of [date of the terms and conditions for funding in force at the time of the funding decision] (hereinafter terms and conditions for funding) and any special terms and conditions of the funding decision.**[[2]](#footnote-2)**

The accountable project leader is responsible for the cost statement and for ensuring that the costs reported in it arise directly from the project, that they have been allocated to the project in the manner required by the terms and conditions for funding, and that they relate to activities in accordance with operational aid or investment aid for the innovation cluster organization.

As the procedures listed below do not constitute either an audit in accordance with auditing standards or a review in accordance with the standards on review engagements, we do not express assurance, as the aforementioned standards would require.

Had we performed additional procedures, an audit in accordance with auditing standards or a review in accordance with the standards on review engagements, other matters might have come to our attention, which we would have then reported to you.

The following agreed-upon procedures were carried out for the sole purpose of allowing the Funder to assess whether the beneficiary had acted in accordance with the terms and conditions for funding.

1. **Project accounting.** We were given access to a description of the beneficiary’s project accounting, and we interviewed [xx/xx] in order to establish the following:
	* the implementation and reliability of project accounting
	* the integrity of the traceability chain and
	* whether project accounting is in accordance with the terms and conditions for funding.
2. **Working time monitoring.** We were given access to a description of the beneficiary’s working time monitoring for the project, and we interviewed [xx/xx] in order to establish the following:
	* the implementation and reliability of the working time monitoring and
	* whether the working time monitoring is in accordance with the terms and conditions for funding.
3. **Salary costs.** With regard to the information in the cost statement, the following procedures were carried out. The procedures covered 30% of the salaries reported for the project and 15% of the payment of salaries reported for the project.
	* We compared the sum reported in section “Total salaries paid during the reporting period” of the salary specification included in the cost statement to the beneficiary’s payroll accounting and verified that 15% of total salaries had been paid.
	* We compared the number of hours reported in the section “Project hours in the reporting period” of the salary specification to the hours reported in the project’s working time monitoring.
	* If the Funder’s terms and conditions for funding require the monitoring of the total working hours of a specific employee, we compared the hours reported under “Total working hours in the reporting period” to the data in the total working hours monitoring for said employee.
4. **Other cost categories.** We were given access to a cost category specification of the costs reported in the cost statement, and we carried out the procedures listed below. The procedures covered 30% of the costs allocated to the project and 15% of the payments of expenses reported. With regard to the selected costs, we assessed whether
	* they were based on project accounting and the beneficiary’s accounting
	* the expenditure that the reported costs were based on had been paid
	* they were accrual-based costs during the project period
	* the expenditure that the reported costs were based on was VAT-exempt
	* they had been itemized according to cost category.

With regard to the following cost categories, we also assessed the following:

* + Travel expenses
		- Were the travel expenses in accordance with the decision of the Finnish Tax Administration?
	+ Material and supplies costs
		- Were material and supplies costs based on invoices?
		- Were the beneficiary’s internal material and supplies costs based on cost price?
	+ Equipment purchases
		- Were the purchase costs of land areas, buildings and installations or machinery and equipment serving as the basis for investment aid based on an invoice?
		- We interviewed [xx/project management] about reported project equipment costs to determine whether the acquired tangible assets are primarily for the use of the project.
	+ Equipment rental costs
		- Were equipment rental costs based on an invoice?
		- Were equipment rental costs no more than the purchase price for said equipment?
		- Whether rental costs did not include administrative, funding, insurance, repair or other similar expenses; or if the aforementioned payments cannot be itemized, are the equipment rental costs at most 50% of the expenditure reported for the equipment.
	+ Purchased services
		- Were purchased services based on an invoice?
		- Were purchases from group/associated companies reported without profit?
			* Did the beneficiary’s final report include an auditor’s report regarding the seller’s costs drawn up by an independent authorized auditor?

With regard to the reviewed costs, we have assessed whether the costs are eligible in accordance with the beneficiary’s eligibility criteria. We have only assessed the aforementioned issues. We are not qualified to assess whether the costs are expenditure arising from the project, nor whether they are related to activities in accordance with operational aid or investment aid for the innovation cluster organization. The accountable project leader is responsible for ensuring that the expenditure declared in the cost statement have arisen directly from the project and are related to activities in accordance with operational aid or investment aid for the innovation cluster organization. If, during the course of this engagement, we have become aware of other issues related to the eligibility of costs, we have reported on these in connection with the observations below.

1. **Computational expenses.** We interviewed [xx/project management] to establish the calculation principles for computational expenses reported as project costs. The calculation principles for indirect personnel costs and overhead costs do not need to be established.
2. **Other public funding.** We interviewed [xx/project management] to establish whether the beneficiary had received any other public funding for this project.
3. **Public procurements.** We interviewed [xx/project management] to establish whether the beneficiary has acted in accordance with the legislation concerning public procurements with regard to the costs reported for the project.[[3]](#footnote-3)

**We have observed the following:**

1. **Project accounting.** In Item 1, we observed that the beneficiary’s project accounting had been carried out as follows: [*based on the description of the beneficiary’s project accounting and the interview, describe how the costs arising from the project can be itemized and how their connection to accounting and the cost statement can be verified*]
2. **Working time monitoring.** In Item 2, we observed that the beneficiary’s project’s working time monitoring had been carried out as follows: [*based on the description of the beneficiary’s project’s working time monitoring and the interview, specify whether working time is monitored at an hourly level for each person participating in the project and whether the hours are allocated to the actual days on which the work was done, as well as whether the employee’s supervisor or accountable project leader confirms the working hours at least once a month*]
3. **Salary costs.** In Item 3, we observed that the examined information of the salary specification form included in the cost statement matched the payroll accounting and working time monitoring [with the exception of the following components...] and that the total salaries examined had been paid.
4. **Other cost categories.** In Item 4, we observed that the costs examined
	* were based on project accounting and the beneficiary’s accounting
	* the costs were accrual-based costs during the project period
	* were appropriately presented as based on cost categories and that
	* the expenditure on which reported costs were based had been paid and
	* were VAT-exempt.

We also observed that:

* + Travel expenses were in accordance with the decision of the Finnish Tax Administration.
	+ Material and supplies costs were based on invoices, and the beneficiary’s internal material and supplies charges were based on cost price.
	+ In equipment purchases, the declared purchase costs of land areas, buildings and installations and machinery and equipment were based on invoices
	+ In equipment purchases, the declared tangible assets were primarily in the use of the project
	+ Equipment rental costs were, based on invoices and were at most, equal to the purchase price of said equipment and do not include administration, funding, insurance, repair or other similar expenditure/or cover, at most, 50% of the rental costs.
	+ Purchased services were based on invoices.
	+ Services purchased from group/associated companies included in the costs were non-profit.
1. **Computational expenses.** In Item 5, we observed that the calculation principles for the computational expenses reported for the project were the following: [*specify the calculation principles used*]
2. **Other public funding.** In Item 6, we observed that, according to the project’s management, the beneficiary had/had not received other public funding for the project. [*list any other public funding received*]
3. **Public procurements.** In Item 7, we observed that, according to the project’s management, the beneficiary had/had not complied with the legislation on public procurement in reporting of costs for the project. [*describe any non-compliance with public procurement legislation*]

Our report is intended solely for the purpose set forth in the first and second paragraphs of this report and shall not be used for any other purposes, nor be relinquished to or shared with a third party outside the Funder. The Funder nevertheless has the right to submit the auditor’s report to other authorities for the purposes of supervising funding. This report only applies to the cost statement detailed above; it does not apply to [the beneficiary]’s complete financial statements.
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Auditor A
HT/KHT/JHT/JHTT AUDITOR X’s signature
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1. The auditor confirms the total costs of the project. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. The comparison is carried out based on the terms and conditions for funding that were in effect at the time when funding was granted. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. This procedure only applies to projects in which the funding received by the company from the Funder or other public funding amounts to more than half of the project costs, or where the beneficiary is a public procurement unit. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)